

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,067	10/30/2001	Dominicus Limerkens	P-282665/EUR	4562
7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Mr. Robert Holthus			COONEY, JOHN M	
HUNTSMAN LLC 10003 Woodloch Forest Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
The Woodlands, TX 77380			1711	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/890,067	LIMERKENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John m. Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	<u> April 2005</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-61</u> is/are pending in the application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 28-61 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0405</u>.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/890,067

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed 4-4-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

All previous rejections are withdrawn in light of applicants' amendments.

However, all references are maintained as art of interest for their relevant disclosures in the art. The following new rejections are set forth in light of further new considerations.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 28-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for binders which are polymeric materials having onset temperatures for melt processing as defined by applicants, does not reasonably provide enablement for non-polymeric materials having onset temperatures for melt processing as defined. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants' supporting disclosure at page 10 last paragraph provides enablement for binders which are "polymeric components" having onset temperatures for melt processing as defined, but does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use non-polymeric materials which have onset temperatures

**Art Unit: 1711** 

for melt processibility as defined without requiring undue experimentation. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404.

Claims 28-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There are inaccuracies in applicants' written description which render determination of applicants' invention, as claimed, unclear. There is no written description of binder materials having onset temperatures for melt processing as defined by applicants' claims described in applicants' supporting disclosure. Applicants' only written description of specific materials for use in their invention are identified as polymeric (note pages 10-12 and example 11 of applicants' supporting disclosure). However, polyisocyanates and/or prepolymers thereof are not polymers, and these inaccuracies render applicants' claimed invention unclear.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OHN M. COONEY.